



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/055,104

01/23/2002

Kenneth H. Rosen

1209-2

9643

7590

12/03/2004

Salvatore J. Abbruzzese
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP
6900 Jericho Turnpike
Syosset, NY 11791

EXAMINER

PEREZ GUTIERREZ, RAFAEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2686

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/055,104

Applicant(s)

Rosen et al.

Examiner

Rafael Perez-Gutierrez

Art Unit

2686

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☒ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement submitted on January 23, 2002 has been considered by the Examiner and made of record in the application file.

Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02. The oath or declaration is defective because it does not identify the citizenship of Kenneth H. Rosen.

Drawings

3. New formal drawings are required in this application to replace the informal drawings filed on January 23, 2002.
4. Formal drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office Action to avoid abandonment of the application. If a response to the present Office Action fails to include formal drawings or arguments therefor, the response can be held **NON-RESPONSIVE** and/or the application could be **ABANDONED** since the objections/corrections

Art Unit: 2686

to the drawings are no longer held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-16 and 40-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Sheha et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2003/0016804 A1)**.

Consider **claims 1 and 40**, Sheha et al. clearly show and disclose a method and a system for transferring selective location information of a wireless calling party 18b to a called party 18c (figure 3), comprising:

a telecommunications network 22 coupled to a wireless telephone device 18b for receiving a telephone call from the wireless calling party 18b (figure 3 and paragraphs 0014, 0021-0023, 0046, and 0048-0052);

a database 3 within the network 22 for storing service information of the wireless calling party 18b, wherein the service information includes instructions regarding the selective transfer of the location information (figure 3, paragraphs 0014, 0021-0023, 0046, and 0048-0052, and claims 1, 20, 26, 45, 51, 71, 76, and 96); and

the telecommunications network 22 further retrieves the service information from the

Art Unit: 2686

database 3 and transfers the selective location information to the called party 18c (figure 3, paragraphs 0014, 0021-0023, 0046, and 0048-0052, and claims 1, 20, 26, 45, 51, 71, 76, and 96).

Consider **claims 2-16 and 41-46**, and **as applied to claims 1 and 40 above**, Sheha et al. also show and disclose the claimed limitations in the abstract, figures 3-5, 8, and 9, paragraphs 0014, 0021-0023, 0046, and 0048-0052, and claims 1-110.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the Examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the Examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35

Art Unit: 2686

U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

7. **Claims 17-39** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Sheha et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2003/0016804 A1)** in view of **Hashimoto (U.S. Patent # 5,341,411)**.

Consider **claims 17 and 32**, Sheha et al. clearly show and disclose a method of transferring selective location information of a wireless calling party 18b to a called party 18c (figure 3), comprising:

receiving a string of numbers from the wireless calling party 18b, whereby the string of numbers is inclusive of the called party's 18c telephone number (figure 3, paragraphs 0014, 0021-0023, 0046, and 0048-0052, and claims 1, 20, 26, 45, 51, 71, 76, and 96);

receiving a code/pre-defined number, the code/pre-defined number includes instructions regarding blocking the selective transfer of the location information (paragraphs 0014, 0021-0023, 0046, and 0048-0052, and claims 1, 20, 26, 45, 51, 71, 76, and 96); and

transmitting or blocking the transmission of the selective location information of the wireless calling party to the called party/network (figure 3, paragraphs 0014, 0021-0023, 0046, and 0048-0052, and claims 1, 20, 26, 45, 51, 71, 76, and 96).

However, Sheha et al. do not specifically disclose that the code/pre-defined number is

Art Unit: 2686

among the string of numbers received.

In the same filed of endeavor, Hashimoto clearly discloses retrieving a blocking key (code/pre-defined) number among a string of numbers, said blocking key (code/pre-defined) number providing instructions regarding blocking transmission of caller information (abstract, column 1 lines 18-47, and claims 1, 2, and 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to specify a blocking key number feature as taught by Hashimoto in the method disclosed by Sheha et al. for the purpose of allowing easier manual intervention.

Consider **claims 18-31 and 33-39**, and **as applied to claims 17 and 32 above**, Sheha et al., as modified by Hashimoto, also show and disclose the claimed limitations in the abstract, figures 3-5, 8, and 9, paragraphs 0014, 0021-0023, 0046, and 0048-0052, and claims 1-110.

Conclusion

8. Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to (703) 872-9306 or mailed to:**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

220 S. 20th St.
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202


Art Unit: 2686

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rafael Perez-Gutierrez whose telephone number is (703) 308-8996. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700 or call customer service at (703) 306-0377.



Rafael Perez-Gutierrez

R.P.G./rpg **RAFAEL PEREZ-GUTIERREZ**
PATENT EXAMINER

December 2, 2004